

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,061	07/14/2003	Richard Thomas Gray	A01182	6906
21898	7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY			MRUK, BRIAN P	
•	EPARTMENT ENDENCE MALL WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA 19106-2399		1751	<del></del>
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	*		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,061	GRAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian P. Mruk	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s
• •	/ 10 0FT TO EVOIDE 4.1	10NT: ((0) 0D T: ((DT) ( (00) D	A\/O
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	ctober 2005.		
·— · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Stag	je
application from the International Bureau		kan an band	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	receivea.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)
	<u></u>		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed October 5, 2005. Applicant has amended claims 1-4. Claims 5-8 have been cancelled. Currently, claims 1-4 remain pending in the application.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action, Paper No. 20050325.
- 3. The rejection of claims 5 and 7-8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and remarks.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sonnabend, U.S. Patent No. 4,384,096, is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Langley et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,744,152, is maintained for the reasons of record.

**NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION** 

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 1751

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the examiner notes that the instant specification does not provide support for the lower weight limitation of "85 weight percent" of one or more non-ionic vinyl monomers recited in instant claim 1. Instant claims 2-4 are included in this rejection, since these claims depend from claim 1.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed October 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Sonnabend, U.S. Patent No. 4,384,096, and Langley et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,744,152, are silent with respect to the ionic strength element of the instant claims, and therefore, fail to teach the requirements of the instant invention. However, the examiner notes that the newly added limitation "is stable and insoluble in an aqueous system at an ionic strength equivalent to 0.01 M sodium carbonate or greater and when in contact with in an aqueous system at an ionic strength equivalent

to less than 0.001 M sodium carbonate the composition responding by..." is an intended use limitation, and therefore, the examiner asserts that the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Therefore, the examiner asserts that since the polymers disclosed in Sonnabend, U.S. Patent No. 4,384,096, and Langley et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,744,152, meet the structural limitations of the polyelectrolyte claimed in the instant invention, that these polymers would be capable of meeting the ionic strength element requirements of the instant invention.

## Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/619,061 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:00AM-5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian P. Mruk December 14, 2005

Brian P Mruk
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751